
## Snapshot Report

NCES 2004-457IN8

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) assesses mathematics in five content areas: number sense, properties, and operations; measurement; geometry and spatial sense; data analysis, statistics and probability; and algebra and functions. The NAEP mathematics scale ranges from 0 to 500.

## **Overall Mathematics Results for Indiana**

- In 2003, the average scale score for eighth-grade students in Indiana was 281. This was not found to be significantly different¹ from the average score in 2000 (281), and was higher than the average score in 1990 (267).
- Indiana's average score (281) in 2003 was higher than that of the nation's public schools (276).
- Of the 53 states and jurisdictions<sup>2</sup> that participated in the 2003 eighth-grade assessment, students' average scale scores in Indiana were higher than those in 22 jurisdictions, not significantly different from those in 22 jurisdictions, and lower than those in 8 jurisdictions.
- The percentage of students in Indiana who performed at or above the NAEP *Proficient* level was 31 percent in 2003. This percentage was not found to be significantly different from 2000 (29 percent), and was greater than that in 1990 (17 percent).



| Performance of NAEP Reporting Groups in Indiana |             |         |                           |       |            |          |
|---|-------------|---------|---------------------------|-------|------------|----------|
|   | Percentage  | Average | Percentage of students at |       |            |          |
| Reporting groups                                | of students | Score   | Below Basic               | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| Male  | 50          | 282     | 25                        | 43    | 27         | 6        |
| Female  | 50          | 280     | 28                        | 43    | 24         | 4        |
| White   | 82          | 286     | 21                        | 44    | 29         | 6        |
| Black   | 12          | 251     | 60                        | 33    | 7          | #        |
| Hispanic  | 3           | 261     | 51                        | 40    | 9          | #        |
| Asian/Pacific Islander                          | 1           |         |                           |       |            |          |
| American Indian/Alaska Native                   | #           |         |                           |       |            |          |
| Free/reduced-price school lunch                 |             |         |                           |       |            |          |
| Eligible  | 29 🕇        | 266     | 42                        | 42    | 15         | 1        |
| Not eligible                                    | 67          | 288     | 20                        | 44    | 30         | 7        |

## **Average Score Gaps Between Selected Groups**

- In 2003, male students in Indiana had an average score that was not found to be significantly different from that of female students. In 1990, male students had an average score that was higher than that of female students.
- In 2003, White students had an average score that was higher than that of Black students (35 points). This performance gap was not significantly different from that of 1990 (28 points).
- The sample size was not sufficient to permit a reliable estimate for Hispanic students in Indiana in 1990.
- In 2003, students who were not eligible for free/reduced-price school lunch had an average score that was higher than that of students who were eligible (22 points). This performance gap was not significantly different from that of 1996 (25 points).



Accommodations were not permitted

Accommodations were permitted

Proficient, 299-332; Advanced, 333 or above.

An examination of scores at different percentiles on the 0–500 NAEP mathematics scale at each grade indicates how well students at lower, middle, and higher levels of the distribution performed.

- # The estimate rounds to zero.
- --- Reporting standards not met; sample size insufficient to permit a reliable estimate.
- \* Significantly different from 2003. ↑ Significantly higher than, ↓ lower than 2000.
- <sup>1</sup> Comparisons (higher/lower/not different) are based on statistical tests. The .05 level was used for testing statistical significance. Performance comparisons may be affected by differences in exclusion rates for students with disabilities and limited-English-proficient students in the NAEP samples and changes in sample sizes. NAEP sample sizes have increased in 2003 compared to previous years, resulting in smaller detectable differences than in previous assessments.

  <sup>2</sup> "Jurisdictions" includes participating states and other jurisdictions (such as the District of Columbia and the Department of Defense Dependents Schools).

  NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for Free/reduced-price lunch is not displayed. Statistical comparisons are calculated on the basis of unrounded scale scores or percentages.

Visit <a href="http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/states/">http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/states/</a> for additional results and detailed information. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 1990, 1992, 1996, 2000, and 2003 Mathematics Assessments.